

$$\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = -\frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{a,\mu\nu} + \overline{\psi} \left( i\gamma_\mu D^\mu - m \right) \psi$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = -\frac{1}{4} F^{a}_{\mu\nu} F^{a,\mu\nu} + \overline{\psi} \left( i\gamma_{\mu} D^{\mu} - m \right) \psi$$

Equation of state

$$\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = -\frac{1}{4} F^{a}_{\mu\nu} F^{a,\mu\nu} + \overline{\psi} \left( i\gamma_{\mu} D^{\mu} - m \right) \psi$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = -\frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{a,\mu\nu} + \overline{\psi} \left( i\gamma_\mu D^\mu - m \right) \psi$$







T

′**/** ′

# The $(T, \mu_B)$ -phase diagram of QCD



 $\mu_B$ 











#### Equation of state

- Rescaling and expansion the analysis in [Borsanyi:2022qlh]
- Results at  $n_S = 0$  and  $\mu_Q = 0$
- Beyond strangeness neutrality

### The path integral quantization: from M to QM to QFT



### The path integral quantization: from M to QM to QFT



#### Lattice Simulation and Statistical mechanics

#### Zero-Temperature-LQCD:



#### Finite-Temperature-LQCD:



$$\int \mathcal{D}\phi(x)e^{i\int\mathrm{d}^{4}x\mathcal{L}} \xrightarrow{t\to\mathrm{i}\tau} \int \mathcal{D}\phi(x)e^{-S} \xrightarrow{\text{periodic boundary in a finite time}} \int \mathcal{D}\phi(x)e^{-\int_{0}^{\beta}\mathrm{d}t\int\mathrm{d}^{3}x\mathcal{L}} = Z$$

Infinite space time volume of a QFT in Euclidean space time

Partition function of a grand canonical ensemble at finite temperature



 $N_s$ 





Ns





Ns



 $\frac{1}{T}$ 



Ns



 $\frac{1}{T}$ 









Ns

$$\frac{1}{T}$$

### The work flow



## Why aren't we finished yet?

- Simulations take a lot of computer time
- Not everything can be calculated directly. For example:
  - Only thermal equilibrium
  - Only simulations at  $\mu_B = 0 \Rightarrow \langle n_B \rangle = 0$ heavy ion collision experiments

1000 configurations on a  $64^3\times 16$  lattice cost about 1 million core hours





### The sign problem

The QCD partition function:

$$Z(V, T, \mu) = \int \mathcal{D}U \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \ e^{-S_F(U, \psi, \bar{\psi}) - \beta S_G(U)}$$
$$= \int \mathcal{D}U \ \det M(U) e^{-\beta S_G(U)}$$

- For Monte Carlo simulations det  $M(U)e^{-\beta S_G(U)}$  is interpreted as Boltzmann weight
- If there is particle-antiparticle-symmetry det M(U) is real
- If  $\mu^2 > 0 \det M(U)$  is complex

#### The sign problem

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}x \ (100 - x^2) \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \approx \int_{-100}^{100} \mathrm{d}x \ (100 - x^2) \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (100 - x_i^2) \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}x_i^2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{200}{N}$$

The  $x_i$  are drawn from a uniform distribution in the interval [-100, 100]



#### Importance sampling

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}x \ (100 - x^2) \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (100 - x_i^2) \cdot \frac{1}{N}$$

The  $x_i$  are drawn from a normal distribution



### The sign problem





## Dealing with the sign problem,

- (Sign) Reweighting techniques
- Canonical ensemble
- Lefshetz Thimble
- Density of state methods
- Dual variables
- Complex Langevin
- . . .

## Dealing with the sign problem

- (Sign) Reweighting techniques
- Canonical ensemble
- Lefshetz Thimble
- Density of state methods
- Dual variables
- Complex Langevin
- . . .



#### [Borsanyi:2021hbk]

## Dealing with the sign problem

- (Sign) Reweighting techniques
- Canonical ensemble
- Lefshetz Thimble
- Density of state methods
- Dual variables
- Complex Langevin
- . . .

0.55 $(\mu_B/T)^2 \leq 0$  data  $\rightarrow \rightarrow$ 0.5 $(\mu_B/T)^2 > 0$  data  $\mapsto$ 0.45Fugacity expansion for  $-(6\pi)^2 < (\mu_B/T)^2 \le 0$ Polynomial fit to  $-10 < (\mu_B/T)^2 \le 0$ 0.4 $\begin{array}{c} & 0.35 \\ & \langle \dot{m} \dot{h} \rangle \end{array}$ 0.25 0.20.15 0.10.05-10-50 5 10  $(\mu_B/T)^2$ 

#### [Borsanyi:2021hbk]

- (Taylor) expansion
- $\bullet~{\rm Imaginary}~\mu$

#### Analytic continuation from imaginary chemical potential



Common technique:

- [deForcrand:2002hgr]
- [Bonati:2015bha]
- [Cea:2015cya ]
- [DElia:2016jqh]
- Bonati:2018nut]
- [Borsanyi:2018grb]
- [Borsanyi:2020fev]
- [Bellwied:2021nrt]

• . . .

#### Different functions



Analytical continuation on  $N_t = 12$  raw data





#### 2 Equation of state

- Rescaling and expansion the analysis in [Borsanyi:2022glh]
- Results at  $n_S = 0$  and  $\mu_Q = 0$
- Beyond strangeness neutrality

#### $\mu_B = 0$ and high T: Influence of the charm quark



[Borsanyi:2016ksw]

#### Trouble with the equation of state


## Trouble with the equation of state





## Taylor method

[Bazavov:2017dus]

[Bollweg:2022rps]

140 160 180 200 220 240 260 280

T [MeV]

## Trouble with the equation of state



[Bollweg:2022rps]

240

## Trouble with the equation of state



## Results at $\mu_S = 0$

Find a different extrapolation scheme for extrapolating to higher  $\mu_B$ .



• [Borsanyi:2021sxv]

•  $N_t = 10, 12, 16$ 





2 Equation of state

- Rescaling and expansion the analysis in [Borsanyi:2022glh]
- Results at  $n_S = 0$  and  $\mu_Q = 0$
- Beyond strangeness neutrality

# Strangeness Neutrality

Enforcing the conditions  $\mu_Q = 0$  and  $\chi_1^S = 0$ :

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mu_S}{\mathrm{d}\mu_B} = -\frac{\chi_{11}^{BS}}{\chi_2^S}.$$

On this line, total derivatives with respect to the baryochemical potential read

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\hat{\mu}_{B}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{\mu}_{B}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{\mu}_{S}}{\mathrm{d}\hat{\mu}_{B}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{\mu}_{S}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{\mu}_{B}} - \frac{\chi_{11}^{BS}}{\chi_{2}^{S}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{\mu}_{S}}$$

For the pressure we get:

$$c_n^B(T,\hat{\mu}_B) \equiv \left. rac{\mathrm{d}^n \hat{p}(T,\hat{\mu}_B)}{\mathrm{d}\hat{\mu}_B^n} \right|_{\substack{\mu_Q=0\\\chi_1^c=0}}.$$

The net baryon density is given by:

$$c_1^B(T, \hat{\mu}_B) = \chi_1^B - \frac{\chi_{11}^{BS}}{\chi_2^S}\chi_1^S = \chi_1^B$$

 $c_1^B$ 



This rescaling will break down at large  $\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow$  rescaling with SBL

 $c_1^B$ 



This rescaling will break down at large  $\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow$  rescaling with SBL

# Why does the rescaling work?

- It is an observation that it works
- It could be related to the critical scaling in the chiral limit
- $\bullet~$  If the universal contribution to EoS is large  $\rightarrow~$  single scaling variable
- If strength of transition is strongly Influenced by light quark masses  $\rightarrow$  curves keep there shape
- Fits with the observation of constant width of the transition



[Borsanyi:2020fev]

















## Lattice Setup



- Action: tree-level Symanzik improved gauge action, with four times stout smeared staggered fermions
- 2+1+1 flavour, on LCP with pion and kaon mass
- Simulation at  $\langle n_S \rangle = 0$
- Continuum estimate from lattice sizes:  $32^3\times 8,\,40^3\times 10,\,48^3\times 12$  and  $64^3\times 16$
- $\frac{\mu_B}{T} = i \frac{j\pi}{8}$  with j = 0, 3, 4, 5, (5.5), 6 and 6.5
- $\bullet\,$  Two methods of scale setting:  $f_{\pi}$  and  $w_0,$   $Lm_{\pi}>4$

# Systematic Errors

- 3 different sets of spline node points at  $\mu_B = 0$
- 2 different sets of spline node points at finite imaginary  $\mu_B$
- $w_0$  or  $f_{\pi}$  based scale setting
- 2 different chemical potential ranges in the global fit:  $\hat{\mu}_B \leq 5.5$  or  $\hat{\mu}_B \leq 6.5$
- 2 functions for the chemical potential dependence of the global fit: linear or parabola
- including the coarsest lattice, N<sub>τ</sub> = 8, or not, in the continuum extrapolation.



In total we perform 96 Fits. We weight every result with a Q > 0.01 uniformly

## The expansion coefficients



$$\Pi(T, \hat{\mu}_B, N_\tau) = \frac{T'(T, \hat{\mu}_B, N) - T}{T\hat{\mu}_B}$$
$$\Pi(T, \hat{\mu}_B, N_\tau) = \lambda_2^A + \lambda_4^A \hat{\mu}_B^2 + \lambda_6^A \hat{\mu}_B^4$$
$$+ \frac{1}{N_\tau^2} \left( \alpha^A + \beta^A \hat{\mu}_B^2 + \gamma^A \hat{\mu}_B^4 \right)$$

We make a fit to calculate derivatives and constrain it with the HRG.





### 2 Equation of state

• Rescaling and expansion - the analysis in [Borsanyi:2022glh]

- Results at  $n_S = 0$  and  $\mu_Q = 0$
- Beyond strangeness neutrality

Results at  $n_{\rm C} = 0$  and  $\mu_{\rm O} = 0$ 

Equation of state

# Results at $n_S = 0$ and $\mu_Q = 0$



Results at  $n_{\rm C} = 0$  and  $\mu_{\rm O} = 0$ 

Equation of state

## Results at $n_S = 0$ and $\mu_Q = 0$ II









### 2 Equation of state

- Rescaling and expansion the analysis in [Borsanyi:2022glh]
- Results at  $n_S = 0$  and  $\mu_Q = 0$
- Beyond strangeness neutrality

## More strangeness

### Two more observables:



## More strangeness

Two more expansion:





$$\Delta \hat{\mu}_{\mathcal{S}} \equiv \hat{\mu}_{\mathcal{S}} - \hat{\mu}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\star},$$

the dimensionless strangeness and baryon densities become:

$$\chi_1^{\mathcal{S}}(\hat{\mu}_{\mathcal{S}}) \approx \chi_2^{\mathcal{S}}(\hat{\mu}_{\mathcal{S}}^*) \Delta \hat{\mu}_{\mathcal{S}}$$
$$\chi_1^{\mathcal{B}}(\hat{\mu}_{\mathcal{S}}) \approx \chi_1^{\mathcal{B}}(\hat{\mu}_{\mathcal{S}}^*) + \chi_{11}^{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{S}}(\hat{\mu}_{\mathcal{S}}^*) \Delta \hat{\mu}_{\mathcal{S}},$$

where we only kept the linear leading order terms in  $\Delta \hat{\mu}_S$ . We will express thermodynamic quantities in terms of the strangeness-to-baryon fraction:

$$R = \frac{\chi_1^S}{\chi_1^B} = \frac{\chi_2^S(\hat{\mu}_S^\star)\Delta\hat{\mu}_S}{\chi_1^B(\hat{\mu}_S^\star)\Delta\hat{\mu}_S + \chi_{11}^{BS}(\hat{\mu}_S^\star)}$$

Inverting this equation we get:

$$\Delta \hat{\mu}_{S} = \frac{R \hat{\chi}_{1}^{B}(\hat{\mu}_{S}^{\star})}{\chi_{2}^{S}(\hat{\mu}_{S}^{\star}) - R \chi_{11}^{BS}(\hat{\mu}_{S}^{\star})}.$$



## Strange Baryon density

Expanding the baryon density:

$$\frac{\chi_{1}^{B}(T,\hat{\mu}_{B},R)}{\chi_{1}^{B}(T,\hat{\mu}_{B},R=0)} \approx 1 + R \frac{\chi_{11}^{BS}(T,\hat{\mu}_{B},R=0)}{\chi_{2}^{S}(T,\hat{\mu}_{B},R=0)}$$

where all quantities on the right hand side are along the strangeness neutral line. At the strangeness neutral line the  $\mathcal{O}(R)$  correction of the pressure vanishes. The leading order correction gives:

$$\hat{p}(T,\hat{\mu}_B,R) pprox \hat{p}(T,\hat{\mu}_B,R) + rac{1}{2}rac{\mathrm{d}^2\hat{p}}{\mathrm{d}R^2}(T,\hat{\mu}_B)R^2,$$

where

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}\hat{p}}{\mathrm{d}R^{2}}\left(T,\hat{\mu}_{B}\right) = \frac{\left(\chi_{1}^{B}\left(T,\hat{\mu}_{B}\right)\right)^{2}}{\chi_{2}^{5}\left(T,\hat{\mu}_{B}\right)}.$$

# Strange Baryon density



Expanding the baryon density:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\chi_1^B(T,\hat{\mu}_B,R)}{\chi_1^B(T,\hat{\mu}_B,R=0)} \\ &\approx 1 + R \frac{\chi_{11}^{BS}(T,\hat{\mu}_B,R=0)}{\chi_2^S(T,\hat{\mu}_B,R=0)} \end{aligned}$$

where all quantities on the right hand side are along the strangeness neutral line.

# Strange Pressure



At the strangeness neutral line the  $\mathcal{O}(R)$  correction of the pressure vanishes. The leading order correction gives:

$$egin{aligned} \hat{p}(\mathcal{T},\hat{\mu}_B,\mathcal{R})&pprox\hat{p}(\mathcal{T},\hat{\mu}_B,\mathcal{R})\ &+rac{1}{2}rac{\mathrm{d}^2\hat{p}}{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{R}^2}\left(\mathcal{T},\hat{\mu}_B
ight)\mathcal{R}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}}{\mathrm{d}R^{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{T},\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{B}\right)=\frac{\left(\chi_{1}^{B}\left(\boldsymbol{T},\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{B}\right)\right)^{2}}{\chi_{2}^{5}\left(\boldsymbol{T},\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{B}\right)}.$$










#### Beyond strangeness neutrality

## Does it work? - Check in a small volume [Borsanyi:2022soo]



#### Beyond strangeness neutrality

### Does it work? - Check in a small volume [Borsanyi:2022soo]



# Outlook

- Update of the Equation of State at  $\mu=\mathbf{0}$
- Addition of a magnetic field to the Equation of state at  $\mu \neq 0$
- Further investigation of strangeness effects



Beyond strangeness neutrality