# Fluctuations of conserved charges: lattice meets experiment

Claudia Ratti Università degli Studi di Torino and INFN, Sezione di Torino

S. Borsanyi, Z. Fodor, S. Katz, S. Krieg, C. R., K. Szabo, arXiv:1403.4576

# **Motivation**

- We live in a very exciting era to understand the fundamental constituents of matter and the evolution of the Universe
- We can create the deconfined phase of QCD in the laboratory
- Lattice QCD simulations have reached unprecedented levels of accuracy
  - physical quark masses
  - $\implies$  several lattice spacings  $\rightarrow$  continuum limit
- The joint information between theory and experiment can help us to shed light on QCD

#### Susceptibilities of conserved charges

The deconfined phase of QCD can be reached in the laboratory

Need for unambiguous observables to identify the phase transition

susceptibilities of conserved charges (baryon number, electric charge, strangeness)
 S. Jeon and V. Koch (2000), M. Asakawa, U. Heinz, B. Müller (2000)

- A rapid change of these observables in the vicinity of  $T_c$  provides an unambiguous signal for deconfinement
- They can be calculated on the lattice as combinations of quark number susceptibilities
- They can be directly compared to experimental measurements

#### The observables under study

The chemical potentials are related:

$$\mu_{u} = \frac{1}{3}\mu_{B} + \frac{2}{3}\mu_{Q};$$
  

$$\mu_{d} = \frac{1}{3}\mu_{B} - \frac{1}{3}\mu_{Q};$$
  

$$\mu_{s} = \frac{1}{3}\mu_{B} - \frac{1}{3}\mu_{Q} - \mu_{S}.$$

susceptibilities are defined as follows:

$$\chi_{lmn}^{BSQ} = \frac{\partial^{l+m+n} p/T^4}{\partial (\mu_B/T)^l \partial (\mu_S/T)^m \partial (\mu_Q/T)^n}.$$

Quadratic susceptibilities:

$$\chi_2^X = \frac{1}{VT^3} \langle N_X^2 \rangle$$

Correlators between different charges:

$$\chi_{11}^{XY} = \frac{1}{VT^3} \langle N_X N_Y \rangle.$$

# **Physical meaning**

Diagonal susceptibilities measure the response of quark densities to an infinitesimal change in the chemical potential

$$\chi_2^X = \frac{\partial^2 p / T^4}{\partial (\mu_X / T)^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial (\mu_X / T)} \left( n_X / T^3 \right)$$

A rapid increase of these observables in a certain temperature range signals a phase transition

Non-diagonal susceptibilities measure the correlation between different quark flavors

$$\chi_{11}^{XY} = \frac{\partial^2 p/T^4}{\partial(\mu_X/T)\partial(\mu_Y/T)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial(\mu_Y/T)} \left(n_X/T^3\right)$$

They can provide information about bound-state survival above the phase transition

#### Relating lattice results to experimental measurement

the first four cumulants are:

$$\chi_1 = \langle (\delta x) \rangle$$
  $\chi_2 = \langle (\delta x)^2 \rangle$   
 $\chi_3 = \langle (\delta x)^3 \rangle$   $\chi_4 = \langle (\delta x)^4 \rangle - 3 \langle (\delta x)^4 \rangle^2$ 

we can relate them to higher moments of multiplicity distributions:

mean :  $M = \chi_1$  variance :  $\sigma^2 = \chi_2$ 

skewness :  $S = \chi_3 / \chi_2^{3/2}$  kurtosis :  $\kappa = \chi_4 / \chi_2^2$ 

 $S\sigma = \chi_3/\chi_2$   $\kappa\sigma^2 = \chi_4/\chi_2$ 

$$M/\sigma^2 = \chi_1/\chi_2 \qquad \qquad S\sigma^3/M = \chi_3/\chi_1$$

#### F. Karsch (2012)

**Claudia Ratti** 

#### Caveats

Effects due to volume variation because of finite centrality bin width V. Skokov, B. Friman, K. Redlich, PRC (2013)

Finite reconstruction efficiency

Spallation protons

Canonical vs Gran Canonical ensemble

Proton multiplicity distributions vs baryon number fluctuations

Final-state interactions in the hadronic phase J.Steinheimer *et al.*, PRL (2013)

## Caveats

- Effects due to volume variation because of finite centrality bin width V. Skokov, B. Friman, K. Redlich, PRC (2013)
  - Experimentally corrected by centrality-bin-width correction method
- Finite reconstruction efficiency
  - Experimentally corrected based on binomial distribution A. Bzdak, V. Koch, PRC (2012)
- Spallation protons
  - $\blacksquare$  Experimentally removed with proper cuts in  $p_T$
- Canonical vs Gran Canonical ensemble
  - Experimental cuts in the kinematics and acceptance V. Koch, S. Jeon, PRL (2000)
- Proton multiplicity distributions vs baryon number fluctuations
  - Numerically very similar once protons are properly treated M. Asakawa and M. Kitazawa, PRC (2012), M. Nahrgang et al., 1402.1238 See talk by Paolo Alba this afternoon
- Final-state interactions in the hadronic phase J.Steinheimer et al., PRL (2013)
  - Consistency between different charges = fundamental test

#### **Relations between chemical potentials**

 $\clubsuit$   $\mu_B$ ,  $\mu_S$  and  $\mu_Q$  are NOT independent:

$$\langle n_S \rangle = 0 \qquad \langle n_Q \rangle = \frac{Z}{A} \langle n_B \rangle \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{Z}{A} = 0.4$$

• By expanding  $n_B$ ,  $n_S$  and  $n_Q$  up to  $\mu_B^3$  we get:

 $\mu_Q(T,\mu_B) = q_1(T)\mu_B + q_3(T)\mu_B^3 + \dots$ 

 $\mu_S(T,\mu_B) = s_1(T)\mu_B + s_3(T)\mu_B^3 + \dots$ 

#### Taylor coefficients: results



WB Collaboration: PRL (2013)

•  $\mu_Q$  turns out to be very small

Agreement between WB and BNL-Bielefeld collaborations

#### **Thermometer and Baryometer**

 $\clubsuit$   $R^B_{31}$ : thermometer

$$R_{31}^B(T,\mu_B) = \frac{\chi_3^B(T,\mu_B)}{\chi_1^B(T,\mu_B)} = \frac{\chi_4^B(T,0) + \chi_{31}^{BQ}(T,0)q_1(T) + \chi_{31}^{BS}(T,0)s_1(T)}{\chi_2^B(T,0) + \chi_{11}^{BQ}(T,0)q_1(T) + \chi_{11}^{BS}(T,0)s_1(T)} + \mathcal{O}(\mu_B^2)$$

• Expand numerator and denominator around  $\mu_B = 0$ : ratio is independent of  $\mu_B$ 

•  $R_{12}^B$ : baryometer

$$R_{12}^B(T,\mu_B) = \frac{\chi_1^B(T,\mu_B)}{\chi_2^B(T,\mu_B)} = \frac{\chi_2^B(T,0) + \chi_{11}^{BQ}(T,0)q_1(T) + \chi_{11}^{BS}(T,0)s_1(T)}{\chi_2^B(T,0)} \frac{\mu_B}{T} + \mathcal{O}(\mu_B^3)$$

• Expand numerator and denominator around  $\mu_B = 0$ : ratio is proportional to  $\mu_B$ 

#### Experimental measurement I



Star Collaboration: arXiv 1212.3892

Claudia Ratti

#### **Experimental measurement II**



#### Star Collaboration: arXiv 1402.1558

#### Freeze-out parameters

#### Extracting freeze-out parameters from baryon number



WB Collaboration: arXiv 1403.4576; STAR data from 1309.5681

• Upper limit:  $T_f \leq 148 \pm 4~{
m MeV}$ 

$\sqrt{s}[GeV]$	$\mu^f_B$ [MeV]
200	25.6±2.4
62.4	69±5.7
39	104±10
27	-

#### Extracting freeze-out parameters from electric charge



WB Collaboration: arXiv 1403.4576; STAR data from 1309.5681 and 1402.1558

- It is of fundamental importance to test the consistency between the freeze-out parameters obtained with different conserved charges
- This consistency check validates the method and shows equilibration of the medium

#### Consistency is found!

$\sqrt{s}[GeV]$	$\mu^f_B$ [MeV] (from $B$ )	$\mu^f_B$ [MeV] (from $Q$ )
200	25.6±2.4	22.6±2.4
62.4	69±5.7	65.9±7.2
39	104±10	100±9
27	-	134.5±12.5



Claudia Ratti

#### Ratio of ratios



 $R_{12}^Q/R_{12}^B = [\chi_1^Q/\chi_2^Q]/[\chi_1^B/\chi_2^B] = [M_Q/\sigma_Q^2]/[M_B/\sigma_B^2]$ 

#### Strange vs light thermometer



S. Borsanyi et al.: JHEP (2012); R. Bellwied et al.: PRL (2013)

- Flavor-specific fluctuations show separation between light and strange quarks
- Does it mean that light and strange quarks have different freeze-out temperatures?

See talk by Valentina Mantovani Sarti tomorrow afternoon

#### Freeze-out temperature from experiment

Fit to yields of identified particles: Statistical Hadronization Model (SHM)

Model-dependent. Parameters: freeze-out temperature and chemical potential



#### Conclusions

It is possible to extract freeze-out parameters from first principles

Higher order fluctuations of baryon number:

$$\implies R^B_{31}(T,\mu_B) = \frac{\chi^B_3(T,\mu_B)}{\chi^B_1(T,\mu_B)}$$
: Thermometer

→ 
$$R_{12}^B(T, \mu_B) = \frac{\chi_1^B(T, \mu_B)}{\chi_2^B(T, \mu_B)}$$
: Baryometer

Higher order fluctuations of electric charge:

independent measurement

$$\Rightarrow R_{12}^Q(T,\mu_B) = \frac{\chi_1^Q(T,\mu_B)}{\chi_2^Q(T,\mu_B)}$$
: Baryometer

- $\blacklozenge$  The freeze-out parameter sets obtained from B and Q are consistent with each other
- Looking forward to strangeness fluctuation data!